### APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

### SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): June 23, 2008

3.	DISTRICT OFFICE, I	TILE NAME, AND NUMBER:V	Vilmington, NCDOT/R-2603/NC 268/DIV	11, SAW-2008-01913
----	--------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: The project site is approximately 3 miles of Elkin HWY 268 located between NC HWY 18 and Shaver Rd., near North Wilkesboro, Wilkes County, NC. Aquatic features on site drain to Mulberry a Long Creeks in the Yadkin River basin. Features described are UT's to Mulberry Creek and associated wetlands. (See attached map for locations of tributaries and wetlands labaled as follows: MC, S3, S3-A, S3-B, S5 S7, MC-WC, S3-WB, and S5-WD)  State:NC County parish borough: Wilkes City: N. Wilkesboro Center coordinates of site (lat long in degree decimal format): Lat. 36.1910836° N. Long. 081.1144650 W.  Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Mulberry Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Yadkin River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Yadkin Pee-dee 03040101  Check if map diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a	ind
different JD form.  D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 2-12-08	
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.	
There <b>Appear to be no</b> "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 32 the review area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign comme Explain:	
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.	
There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Require	2d]
1. Waters of the U.S.	
a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs	
Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	
Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	
Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	
<ul> <li>Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs</li> <li>Impoundments of jurisdictional waters</li> </ul>	
Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Impoundments of jurisdictional waters  Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	
b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:	
Non-wetland waters: 8397 linear feet: 6-7 width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: .65 acres.	
c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):	
2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): <sup>3</sup>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
<sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months)
3 Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1, only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1,; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW Identify TNW:
	Summarize rationale supporting determination: .
2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 75÷square miles

# Drainage area: 75+ square miles Average annual rainfall: 50.68 inches Average annual snowfall: 10 inches (ii) Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. ☐ Tributary flows through 10 (or more) tributaries before entering fNW. Project waters are 1-2 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW. Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: S3, S3-A, S3-B, S5 S flow into Mulberry Creek , which flows south into the Yadkin River. Tributary stream order, if known: 3 according to USDA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West

Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW

(b)	General Tributary Tributary is:	Characteristics (check all that apply  ☐ Natural ☐ Artificial (man-made). Explai ☐ Manipulated (man-altered). 1	in:	n: Manipulated due to road construction.
	Tributary propert Average widt Average depti Average side	h: 6-8 feet	mate)	:
	Primary tributary s ☐ Silts ☐ Cobbles ☐ Bedrock ☐ Other, Exp	ubstrate composition (check all tha  Sands  Gravel  Vegetation. Type "a	•	☐ Concrete☐ Muck
eroded with so	ome areas of mass w Presence of run rif Tributary geometry	rasting on the banks. He pool complexes. Explain: Belo	w ave	g banks]. Explain: The overall condition of the stream is rage amount of R P complexes. Mostly riffles.
(c)	Estimate average n Describe flow	for: <b>Seasonal flow</b> number of flow events in review are regime: Stream stays confined wit on duration and volume:		
	Surface flow is: Co	onfined. Characteristics: .		
		<b>(es.</b> Explain findings: Perennial floher) test performed:	ow.	
	│ clear. │ chang │ shelvi │ vegeta │ leaf li │ sedim │ water │ other	anks check all indicators that apply): natural line impressed on the bank les in the character of soil ing ation matted down, bent, or absent tter disturbed or washed away lent deposition staining		the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting scour multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community
	☐ High Tid ☐ oil or ☐ fine s ☐ physic	le Line indicated by: scum line along shore objects hell or debris deposits (foreshore) cal markings/characteristics gauges	Mea	eral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): n High Water Mark indicated by: survey to available datum: ohysical markings: egetation lines changes in vegetation types.
Cha		e.g., water color is clear, discolored er with some caddis flies.	l, oily	film: water quality: general watershed characteristics, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break libid.

		$\boxtimes$	logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):  Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Small shrub veg, some areas consist mature trees, from large to
nari	row b	uller 	Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for:
			<ul> <li>☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings:</li> <li>☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings; Small fish.</li> <li>☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings;</li> <li>☐ Aquatic wildlife diversity. Explain findings; Observed minos, stone flies and caddis flies.</li> </ul>
2.	Cha	aract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)		sical Characteristics:
		(a)	General Wetland Characteristics: Properties:
			Wetland size: acres
			Wetland type. Explain:
			Wetland quality. Explain:  Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
			Troject wedalids cross of serve as state boundaries. Explain.
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: <b>Ephemeral flow</b> . Explain: Very little sheet from wetland to Mulberry Creek.
			Surface flow is: Discrete Characteristics: .
			Subsurface flow: <b>Unknown</b> . Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  Directly abutting
			Not directly abutting
			<ul> <li>Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Subsurface from from wetland to stream.</li> <li>Ecological connection. Explain:</li> <li>Separated by berm barrier. Explain:</li> </ul>
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 1-2 river miles from TNW.
			Project waters are <b>1-2</b> aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
			Flow is from: Wetland to/from navigable waters.
			Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the <b>5 - 10-year</b> floodplain.
	(ii)	Che	emical Characteristics:
			racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Clear water, some sheen, probably from road run off.  https://doi.org/10.1007/pn.1
	(iii	) Bio 	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):PEM from mowed road side area.  Vegetation type percent cover. Explain:A few cat tails and juncus present.
			Habitat for:
			☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
			Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic'wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.	Cha		eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

### 3.

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 3

Approximately (.65) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (NN)	Size (in acres)
MC-WC / N	.13		
S3-WB / N	.48		
S5-WD 'Y	0.04		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanox Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic earbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: The adjacent wetlands, in combination with the RPWs (MC (Mulberry Creek), S3, and S5) tributaries have the capacity to hold/carry floodwaters to a TNW resulting in the ability to reduce overall flood waters within the TNW. In addition, these features can provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for species present in the TNW by providing an initial source of carbon and other nutrients available for nutrient cycling within the aquatic regime. Leaf packs, insect larvae and other life sustaining components are contributed to the TNW from these features. The overall physical and biological integrity of the TNW are enhanced by the adjacent wetlands and the RPWs. As stated carlier MC-WC, S3-WB, and S5-WD (the wetlands) flows into MC (Mulberry Creek). MC then flows south into the Yadkin River (TNW).

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

	·
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  ☐ TNWs; linear feet width (ft). Or, acres.  ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs; acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Refer to Data sheets for UTs labeled as MC, and S3.

	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Refer to data sheets for UT's labeled as S3-A, S3-B, S5, and S7.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the revie—area (cheek all that apply):  Tributary waters: 8.397 linear feet 6-7 width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters:  Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (cheek all that apply):    Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).   Other non-wetland waters: acres.   Identify type(s) of waters:
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  ☐ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  ☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above, Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetland labeled S5-WD comes in direct contact with RPW (S5).
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: <b>0.04</b> acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: (wetlands labeled MC-WC, and S3-WB) 0.61 acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DE	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

E.

 <sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.
 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	<ul> <li>☐ from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.</li> <li>☐ which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.</li> <li>☐ Interstate isolated waters. Explain:         <ul> <li>☐ Other factors. Explain:</li> <li>☐</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	Identify water body and summarize rational appropring determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWAVCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.
<u>SE</u>	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):    Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant consultant:   Data sheets prepared submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.   Office concurs with data sheets delineation report.   Office does not concur with data sheets delineation report.   Data sheets prepared by the Corps:   Corps navigable waters' study:   U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:   USGS NHD data.   USGS NHD data.   USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.   U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:   USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Issued 1997.   National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:   State Local wetland inventory map(s). Cite name:   State Local wetland inventory map(s).   FEMA/FIRM maps:   100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)   Photographs:   Aerial (Name & Date):   or   Other (Name & Date):   Previous determination(s). File no, and date of response letter:   Applicable/supporting case law:   Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
	Other information (please specify): Site visit conducted on February 12, 2008.

### APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

### SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): June 23, 2008

	3.	DISTRICT OFFICE.	, FILE NAME,	AND NUMBER: Wilmington,	NCDOT/R-2603/NC 268/DIV 11,	SAW-2008-01913
--	----	------------------	--------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	----------------

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: The project site is approximately 3 miles of Elkin HWY 268 located between NC HWY 18 and Shaver Rd., near North Wilkesboro, Wilkes County, NC. Aquatic features on site drain to Mulberry and Long Creeks in the Yadkin River basin. Features described are UT's to Mulberry Creek and associated wetlands. (See attached map for locations of tributaries and wetlands labaled as follows: S6, S8, S9, and S9-WE) County/parish borough: Wilkes City: N. Wilkesboro Center coordinates of site (lat long in degree decimal format): Lat. 36.1910836° N. Long. 081.1144650° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Mulberry Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Yadkin River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Yadkin Pee-dee 03040101 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form. D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination, Date: Field Determination. Date(s): 2-12-08 SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Appear to be no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 3.7 CFR part 329) in the review area, [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required] I. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1 TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters<sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 2384 linear feet: 2-3 width (ft) and/or Wetlands: 0.02 acres. c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known): 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

\_\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
<sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III F

Potentially jurisdictional waters and or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional Explain:

### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The exercises will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.A.1 and Section III.A.1 and Section III.B.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW Identify TNW:
	Summarize rationale supporting determination: .
2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

### I. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 544 acres

# Drainage area: 54+ acres Average annual rainfall: 50.68 inches Average annual snowfall: 10 inches (ii) Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. ☐ Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are 1-2 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW. Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: S6, S8, S9 flow into UT to Mulberry Creek (S3) as labeled on the attached map, which flows to MC (Mulberry Creek), which flows south into the Yadkin River.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and crosional features generally and in the arid West.

Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW

	Tributary stream order, if known: 3 according to USDA.
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 50-60 feet Average depth: 6-8 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands □ Concrete □ Cobbles □ Gravel □ Muck □ Bedrock □ Vegetation. Type/% cover: □ Other. Explain:
eroded with so	Tributary condition stability [e.g., highly croding, sloughing banks]. Explain: The overall condition of the stream is ome areas of mass wasting on the banks.  Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Below average amount of R/P complexes. Mostly riffles.  Tributary geometry: <b>Meandering</b> Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 1.0 %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: <b>Ephemeral flow</b> Estimate average number of flow events in review area year: <b>1</b> Describe flow regime: Stream stays confined within its banks do to entrenchment. Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: <b>Confined.</b> Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: <b>Unknown</b> . Explain findings:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):
	High Tide Line indicated by:    Gillor seum line along shore objects   Survey to available datum:   Gine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)   physical markings characteristics   vegetation lines changes in vegetation types.   tidal gauges   other (list):
Cha	emical Characteristics: tracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain; clear water with some caddis flies, tify specific pollutants, if known:

<sup>&</sup>quot;A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break lobd.

	(iv)	Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):  ☐ Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Very small stream channel.  ☐ Wetland fringe. Characteristics:  ☐ Habitat for:  ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  ☐ Fish spawn areas. Explain findings: Small fish.  ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  ☐ Aquatic wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Observed minos, stone flies and caddis flies.
2.	Cha	racteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
		Physical Characteristics:  (a) General Wetland Characteristics:  Properties:  Wetland size:0.02 acres  Wetland type. Explain: PEM, mowed and in a yard.  Wetland quality. Explain: Low, wet, disturbed area in yard.  Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain:
		Surface flow is: <b>Discrete</b> Characteristics:
		Subsurface flow: <b>Unknown</b> . Explain findings:   Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Subsurface from from wetland to stream. ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW  Project wetlands are 1 (or less) river miles from TNW.  Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW.  Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters.  Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 2-year or less floodplain.
	(ii)	Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Clear water. ldentify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii)	Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  ☐ Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):PEM from mowed road side area.  ☐ Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Small wet area in yard.  ☐ Habitat for:  ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.	Cha	racteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 1

Approximately (.13) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For	each	wetland.	specify	the	followi	no:
1 (71	cacn	wenana.	SPECIL	UIIC	TOHOWI	112.

Directly abuts? (Y N) Yes	Size (in heres) 0.02	Directly abuts? (Y'N)	Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: The abutting wetlands, in combination with the non-RPWs S6, S8, S9, have the capacity to hold 'carry floodwaters to a TNW resulting in the ability to reduce overall flood waters within the TNW. In addition, these features can provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for species present in the TNW by providing an initial source of carbon and other nutrients available for nutrient cycling within the aquatic regime. Leaf packs, insect larvae and other life sustaining components are contributed to the TNW from these features. The overall physical and biological integrity of the TNW are enhanced by the abutting wetlands and the non-RPWs. As stated earlier S9-WE (the wetland) and S6, S8, S9 (the non-RPWs flows into S3 (UT to Mulbery Creek) which flows into MC (Mulberry Creek). MC then flows south into the Yadkin River (TNW).
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL
	THAT APPLY):

TH.	AT APPLY):
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  ☑ Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: 2384 linear feet 2-5 width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.02 acres.
7.	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional,  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DE SU	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

E.

 <sup>\*</sup>See Footnote # 3.
 \*To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook
 \*Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.  which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.  Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .  Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
SEC	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
<b>A.</b>	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below);  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant;  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps;  Corps navigable waters' study;  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name;  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey, Citation: Issued 1997.  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State Local wetland inventory map(s).  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):  Or Other (Name & Date):  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:  Applicable supporting case law:  Applicable supporting scientific literature:
	Other information (please specify): Site visit conducted on February 12, 2008.

### APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

# A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (4.2): June 33, 2008

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Wilmington, NCDOT/R-2603/NC 268/DIV 11, SAW-2008-01913
loca Lor	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: The project site is approximately 3 miles of Elkin HWY 268 med between NC HWY 18 and Shaver Rd near North Wilkesboro. Wilkes County, NC. Aquatic features on site drain to Mulberry and ag Creeks in the Yadkin River basin. Features described are UT's to Long Creek and adjacent wetland to Long Creek. (See attached map locations of tirbutaries and wetlands labeled as follows: \$1, \$1-B. \$2, \$4, \$10, and \$1-WA)  State:NC County parish borough: Wilkes City: N. Wilkesboro  Center coordinates of site (lat long in degree decimal format): Lat. 36.1910836° N, Long. 081.1144650° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator:  Name of nearest waterbody: Long Creek  Name of nearest waterbody: Long Creek  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Yadkin River  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Yadkin Pee-dee 03040101  Check if map/diagram of review area and or potential jurisdictional areas is are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 2-12-08
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
the	ree <b>Appear to be no</b> "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in review area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Impoundments of jurisdictional waters  Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Non-wetland waters: 4561 linear feet: 6-7 width (ft) and/or acres.  Wetlands: 0.01 acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below <sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

2.	Non	ı-r <b>egulated</b> Potentially Explain:	l waters/we y jurisdictio	r <b>tlands (chec</b> nal waters an	<b>k if applicable</b> d or wetlands <sup>y</sup>	e); <sup>3</sup> were assessed v	vithin the revie	w area and det	ermined to be	not jurisdictional

<sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III F.

### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the accuatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW Identify TNW:	
	Summarize rationale supporting determination: .	
2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":	

### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

General Area Conditions:

# Watershed size: 85+square miles Drainage area: 1.7+ square miles Average annual rainfall: 50.68 inches Average annual snowfall: 10 inches (ii) Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. ☐ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters eross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: S3 flows east, into Mulberry Creek. Tributary stream order, if known: 3 according to USDA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and crosional features generally and in the arid West

Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into FNW

(h)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: 50-60 feet  Average depth: 6-8 feet  Average side slopes: 2:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  ☐ Silts ☐ Sands ☐ Concrete ☐ Cobbles ☐ Gravel ☐ Muck ☐ Bedrock ☐ Vegetation. Type % cover: ☐ Other. Explain:
eroded with se	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: The overall condition of the stream is one areas of mass wasting on the banks.  Presence of run/riffle pool complexes. Explain: Below average amount of R.P complexes. Mostly riffles.  Tributary geometry: <b>Relatively straight</b> Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 1.0 %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 1 Describe flow regime: Stream stays confined within its banks do to entrenchment. Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics: .
	Subsurface flow: <b>Yes</b> . Explain findings: Perennial flow.  Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent cleaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):  High Tide Line indicated by:  oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings characteristics tidal gauges other (list):  Mean High Water Mark indicated by: survey to available datum: physical markings: vegetation lines changes in vegetation types.
Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain; clear water with some caddis flies, utify specific pollutants, if known:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. Third.

	( zi)	Biol	logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
ıarr	ow b	uffer	Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Small shrub veg, some areas consist mature trees, from large to
			Wetland fringe. Characteristics:  Habitat for:  □ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: □ Fish'spawn areas. Explain findings: Small fish. □ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: □ Aquatic wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Observed minos, stone flies and caddis flies.
2.	Cha	ract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)		Sical Characteristics:  General Wetland Characteristics:  Properties:  Wetland size: 0.01 acres  Wetland type. Explain: PEM adjacent to \$1.  Wetland quality. Explain: Low quality located behind gas station and body shop.  Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: <b>Perennial flow</b> . Explain: Very little sheet frow from wetland to Mulberry Creek.
			Surface flow is: Discrete and confined Characteristics:  Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Subsurface from from wetland to stream. ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 1 (or less) river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to/from navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 2 - 5-year floodplain.
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Clear water, https://example.com/racteristics/pollutants.if known:
	(iii	Bio	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Small grass area behind business's.  Vegetation type percent cover. Explain:A few cat tails and juncus present.  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.	Cha	ract	eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **30 (or more)**Approximately (0.01) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

Directly abuts? (Y N) Size (in acres) Directly abuts? (Y N) Size (in acres) WA-N 0.01

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Very small wetland behind business's and next to S1 (Long Creek).

### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: The adjacent wetlands, in combination with the RPW (S1, Long Creek) tributary have the capacity to hold/carry floodwaters to a TNW resulting in the ability to reduce overall flood waters within the TNW. In addition, these features can provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for species present in the TNW by providing an initial source of carbon and other nutrients available for nutrient cycling within the aquatic regime. Leaf packs, insect larvae and other life sustaining components are contributed to the TNW from these features. The overall physical and biological integrity of the TNW are enhanced by the adjacent wetlands and the RPW. As stated earlier S1-WA (the wetland) flows into S1 (Long Creek). S1 then flows east into Mulberry Creek then into the Yadkin River (TNW).

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL
	THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and	Adjacent Wetlands.	Check all that	at apply and provide size estimates in review area:	
	☐ TNWs:	linear feet	width (ft). Or.	c, acres.	
	☐ Wetland	s adjacent to TNWs:	acres.		

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

DE	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): $^{10}$
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: <b>0.01</b> acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  ☐ Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above, Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above, Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: <b>4561</b> linear feet <b>6-7</b> width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:
	<ul> <li>☑ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Refer to Data sheets for features \$1, and \$4.</li> <li>☑ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Refer to Data sheets for features \$1-B, \$2, and \$10.</li> </ul>

E.

<sup>\*</sup>See Footnote # 3.

To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

To review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes, from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce, which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
Ide	entify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
Pro	wide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
	DN-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexts to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based so' dy on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexts" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
fac	ovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR tors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply);  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
Pro a fi	wide aereage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such nding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):  Lakes'ponds:  aeres.  Other non-wetland waters:  acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands:  acres.
SECTIO	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps. plans. plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Issued 1997.  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA-FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):  or Other (Name & Date):  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:

	Applicable/supporting case law: .
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
$\boxtimes$	Other information (please specify): Site Visit conducted on February 12, 2008.

# B. ADDITE NAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:



